

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/613,377	07/03/2003	Dov Michaeli	1102865-0059	5823	
7470 7	590 08/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WHITE & CASE LLP		KIM, YUNSOO			
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT E OF THE AMERICAS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005	ς.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>2</del>				
Office Action Summary	10/613,377 Examiner	MICHAELI ET AL.					
	Yunsoo Kim	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and		1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
<u> </u>		secution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.		•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	un from consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in nom consideration.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	la ati a mana a mai a mana a mat						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			٠.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	promy and or	(4) 5. (.).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	·						
application from the International Bureau		a in this realional otage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.					
		•					
Attachment(s)	, <b>-</b>	( <b></b>					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/613,377 Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-40 are pending.

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-34 and 39 drawn to a liposomal composition classified in class 424, subclass 450.

II. Claims 35 and 37 drawn to a method of treatment classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.

III. Claims 36, 38 and 40 drawn to a method of producing a liposomal composition classified in class 435, subclass 69.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Groups I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a liposomal composition can be made by thin-film hydration or reverse-phase evaporation.
- 4. Groups II and III are different methods. These inventions are different with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints, which require non-coextensive searches; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,377

Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention of Group I. The distinct species are the identity of the immunomimic peptide as recited in claims 14-17, 39 (SEQ ID NOs: 3-8, 12, 15-20), spacer peptides as recited in claim 28 (SEQ ID NOs: 9-11) and phospholipids as recited in claim 22. Applicant is required to elect one immunomimic peptide (particular SEQ ID NO), one spacer peptide (of particular SEQ ID NO) and a phospholipid. These species are distinct because of their structure and biological functions.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-11 are generic.

7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,377

Art Unit: 1644

9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Page 4

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996).

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,377

Art Unit: 1644

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yunsoo Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3176. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F,9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yunsoo Kim

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

August 12, 2005

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D

Page 5

**Primary Examiner** 

Technology Center 1600